## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JEFFREY T. MILLER, JUDGE PRESIDING 3 4 ) CASE NO. 10CR4246-JM 5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) 6 PLAINTIFF, 7 -7/-) SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA ) JUNE 10, 2013 8 BASAALY SAEED MOALIN, MOHAMED MOHAMUD, ) JUNE 10, 1 MOHAMED MOHAMUD, ) 2:40 P.M. 9 ISSA DOREH, AHMED NASIR TAALIL MOHAMUD, 10 DEFENDANTS. 11 12 13 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 14 TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE 15 16 17 18 **APPEARANCES:** 19 FOR THE GOVERNMENT: LAURA E. DUFFY, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: WILLIAM P. COLE, ESQ. 2.0 CAROLINE PINEDA HAN, ESQ. ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS 21 880 FRONT STREET SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 22 23 BY: STEVEN PHILLIP WARD, ESQ. ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY 24 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE NATIONAL SECURITY DIVISION 950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W. 25 WASHINGTON, DC 20530

Case 3:10-cr-04246-JM Document 470 Filed 03/20/14 Page 1 of 13

| 1      | FOR DEFENDANT MOALIN:     | LAW OFFICES OF ALICE L. FONTIER BY: ALICE L. FONTIER, ESQ.                            |
|--------|---------------------------|---|
| 2      |                           | 369 LEXINGTON AVENUE SECOND FLOOR, #224   |
| 3      |                           | NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017  |
| 4<br>5 |                           | LAW OFFICE OF JOSHUA DRATEL<br>BY: JOSHUA L. DRATEL, ESQ.<br>2 WALL STREET, 3RD FLOOR |
|        |                           | NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10005  |
| 6<br>7 | FOR DEFENDANT M. MOHAMUD: | LAW OFFICE OF LINDA MORENO<br>BY: LINDA MORENO, ESQ.<br>PO BOX 10985                  |
| 8      |                           | TAMPA, FLORIDA 33679  |
| 9      | FOR DEFENDANT DOREH:      | CIVIL RIGHTS CLINIC BY: AHMED GHAPPOUR, ESQ.  |
| 10     |                           | 727 E. DEAN KEETON STREET<br>AUSTIN, TEXAS 78705                                      |
| 11     | FOR DEFENDANT A. MOHAMUD: |   |
| 12     |                           | BY: THOMAS A. DURKIN, ESQ. JANIS D. ROBERTS, ESQ.                                     |
| 13     |                           | 2446 NORTH CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60614                                       |
| 14     |                           |   |
| 15     |                           |   |
| 16     |                           |   |
| 17     |                           |   |
| 18     |                           |   |
| 19     |                           |   |
| 20     |                           |   |
| 21     |                           |   |
| 22     |                           | ETTE N. HILL, C.S.R.  |
| 23     | (619)                     | 702-3905  |
| 24     |                           |   |
| 25     |                           |   |
|        |                           |   |

| SAN      | DIEGO,  | CALI | FORNIA; | MONDAY,  | JUNE | 10, | 2013; | 2:40 | P | М. |
|----------|---------|------|---------|----------|------|-----|-------|------|---|----|
| l . —    |         |      |         |          |      |     |       |      |   |    |
| (COUNSEI | L APPEA | RING | TELEPHO | NICALLY) |      |     |       |      |   |    |

THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE. I HOPE YOU CAN ALL HEAR ME.

MR. DRATEL: YES, YOUR HONOR.

1.3

2.0

MS. FONTIER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MS. MORENO: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS CALL THE CASE,

AND THEN YOU CAN EACH RESPOND, INDICATING YOUR ATTENDANCE BY

NAME. THAT WOULD BE APPRECIATED. AND THEN ANY TIME YOU NEED

TO SPEAK, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME SO THAT OUR RECORD IS CLEAR.

THIS IS U.S. VERSUS MOALIN, 10CR4246, A TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE CONCERNING THE RESCHEDULING OF THE SENTENCINGS FOR ALL FOUR DEFENDANTS.

THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THAT I HAVE RECEIVED A

LETTER FROM MR. DRATEL, DATED JUNE 4, 2013, SUGGESTING, IF NOT

REQUESTING, THAT SENTENCING BE PUT OVER FROM JUNE 26TH, 2013,

ITS CURRENT DATE, TO SOMETIME IN MID OCTOBER OF THIS YEAR. IT

IS THIS LETTER THAT PROMPTED THIS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE, AT MY

URGING.

MAY I PLEASE HAVE EACH OF THE ATTORNEYS STATE THEIR APPEARANCES. AND WHEN IT COMES TO DEFENSE COUNSEL, I WOULD APPRECIATE YOU INDICATING THAT YOU'RE WAIVING THE PRESENCE OF YOUR RESPECTIVE CLIENT. OBVIOUSLY, THIS BEING ONLY A SCHEDULING MATTER, I THINK THAT WOULD BE PERFECTLY APPROPRIATE.

| FURTHER, THE SYSTEM WE'RE USING REALLY DOESN'T ALLOW          |
|---|
| FOR LONG NARRATIVES, SUCH AS I AM ENGAGING IN RIGHT NOW. SO   |
| PLEASE KEEP YOUR RESPONSES FAIRLY SHORT, WITH BREAKS, SO IF   |
| SOMEBODY NEEDS TO RESPOND, WE CAN DO THAT EFFECTIVELY.        |
| OKAY. WITH THE APPEARANCES, LET ME START WITH                 |
| MR. COLE AND/OR MR. HAN.                                      |
| MR. COLE: YES, YOUR HONOR. WILLIAM COLE AND CAROLYN           |
| HAN AND STEVEN WARD, FOR THE UNITED STATES.                   |
| THE COURT: THANK YOU.   |
| NEXT.   |
| MR. DRATEL: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. JOSHUA                |
| DRATEL FOR MR. MOALIN, AND ALICE FONTIER IS ALSO ON THE CALL. |
| THE COURT: THANK YOU.   |
| MS. FONTIER: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.                      |
| MS. MORENO: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. LINDA                 |
| MORENO ON BEHALF OF MR. MOHAMED MOHAMUD, WHO IS WAIVING HIS   |
| APPEARANCE.   |
| MR. DRATEL: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS                    |
| MR. DRATEL AGAIN. YES, WE WAIVE MR. MOALIN'S APPEARANCE.      |
| THE COURT: GREAT. THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.                  |
| MR. GHAPPOUR: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. AHMED               |
| GHAPPOUR ON BEHALF OF ISSA DOREH, WHO IS WAIVING HIS          |
| APPEARANCE.   |
| THE COURT: THANK YOU.   |
| MR. DURKIN: JUDGE, TOM DURKIN AND JANIS ROBERTS ON            |

BEHALF OF MR. AHMED MOHAMUD, WHO IS ALSO WAIVING HIS APPEARANCE TODAY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, COUNSEL.

FIRST OF ALL, I'M GOING TO ASK MR. DURKIN TO SPEAK UP
A LITTLE BIT IN THE FUTURE. I WAS ABLE TO GET THAT, BUT I
DON'T THINK YOUR CONNECTION IS QUITE AS GOOD AS THE OTHERS,
MR. DURKIN.

I APOLOGIZE FOR MY VOICE. I HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF LARYNGITIS RIGHT NOW SO I MAY NOT SOUND LIKE MYSELF, BUT HERE I AM.

OKAY. LET ME TELL YOU WHAT MY CONCERNS ARE. FIRST OF ALL, OBVIOUSLY, THIS CASE HAS BEEN FILED FOR SOME PERIOD OF TIME. I KNOW EVERYONE IS INTERESTED IN RESOLVING THIS CASE —THAT IS, GETTING THIS CASE TO COMPLETION AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. THAT GOES WITHOUT SAYING.

LET ME SAY THIS: I HAVE NO DIFFICULTY WITH PUTTING

THE MATTER OVER -- THAT IS, THE SENTENCINGS -- FOR A REASONABLE

PERIOD OF TIME. I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT GOING ALL THE WAY

OVER TO OCTOBER AS THE NEXT CALENDAR DATE. I'LL TELL YOU WHY.

FIRST OF ALL, IT'S USUALLY MY CUSTOM TO TAKE OFF MOST OF THE MONTH OF OCTOBER. I DON'T HAVE THOSE PLANS DOWN EXACTLY NOW, BUT CERTAINLY I WOULD ANTICIPATE THAT THERE WOULD BE A STRONG LIKELIHOOD I WOULD NOT BE AVAILABLE FROM EARLY OCTOBER, PERHAPS THROUGH THE END OF OCTOBER. SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS GET THIS BACK ON CALENDAR SOME TIME BEFORE OCTOBER.

2.0

| NOW, IN MR. DRATEL'S LETTER, HE REFERS TO                      |
|--|
| MR. GHAPPOUR COMMENCING A TRIAL IN SEPTEMBER IN DALLAS. I      |
| DON'T KNOW WHAT DATE THAT IS, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE LENGTH OF  |
| IT IS, BUT IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE BEST TIME TO HAVE THESE     |
| SENTENCINGS RESET WOULD BE IN SEPTEMBER. AND I WOULD CERTAINLY |
| WORK WITH COUNSEL.   |

OBVIOUSLY, YOU, MR. GHAPPOUR, TO SCHEDULE THE SENTENCINGS ANY DAY OF THE WEEK SO THAT IF YOU WERE NOT OTHERWISE ENGAGED, YOU COULD TAKE A QUICK TRIP TO SAN DIEGO.

WE COULD DO A MONDAY OR A FRIDAY HEARING. THAT IS MY PRIMARY CONCERN.

AND WHOEVER WOULD LIKE TO RESPOND FIRST, PLEASE GO AHEAD.

MR. GHAPPOUR: THIS IS MR. GHAPPOUR. I AM AMENABLE
TO THAT. AND IF WE WERE TO PICK A DAY OF THE WEEK, I WOULD
PREFER A MONDAY, IF POSSIBLE.

THE COURT: ARE YOU SAYING THAT ANY MONDAY IN

SEPTEMBER WOULD BE AGREEABLE? SO LONG AS WE CAN MAKE IT A

MONDAY, WOULD IT AT LEAST DIMINISH ANY DIFFICULTIES FOR YOUR

CALENDAR?

MR. GHAPPOUR: YES, YOUR HONOR. AND PREFERABLY, IF IT'S AT ALL POSSIBLE, TO THE 2ND OF SEPTEMBER. THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

MS. FONTIER: THAT IS LABOR DAY.

MR. GHAPPOUR: OH, THAT IS LABOR DAY. EXCELLENT.

HOW ABOUT THE 3RD OF SEPTEMBER?

MS. FONTIER: SORRY TO INTERJECT. THIS IS ALICE FONTIER. I AM GOING TO BE OUT OF THE COUNTRY FROM SEPTEMBER 2ND THROUGH THE 9TH. I WILL BE RETURNING ON THE 10TH.

THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT ABOUT SEPTEMBER 16?

MR. GHAPPOUR: FINE HERE.

MR. DURKIN: JUDGE, WE HAVE A PROBLEM WITH A TRIAL STARTING --

MS. ROBERTS: THE NEXT DAY.

MR. DURKIN: -- SEPTEMBER 17TH IN CHICAGO. AND IT'S

A MULTI-DEFENDANT CASE.

THE COURT: WHAT ARE THE CHANCES OF THAT GOING,
MR. DURKIN?

MR. DURKIN: I THINK PRETTY STRONG. THE DEFENDANTS

ARE IN CUSTODY AND IT'S VERY LIKELY THAT IT WILL GO.

BUT I WAS GOING TO RAISE A SEPARATE ISSUE. I HAD

TALKED TO BOTH MR. DRATEL AND MR. COLE ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT MY

CLIENT COULD BE SENTENCED AFTER MR. DRATEL'S CLIENT. MY

UNDERSTANDING IS THE GOVERNMENT DOESN'T OBJECT TO THAT, AND I

DON'T THINK MR. DRATEL DOES, EITHER. AND I THINK IT WOULD BE

VERY BENEFICIAL FOR MY CLIENT IF I COULD DO IT THAT WAY.

I THINK THERE COULD BE A POSSIBILITY OF MITIGATING EVIDENCE THAT I COULD INTRODUCE. SO I WOULD ASK THAT REGARDLESS OF MY SCHEDULE. BUT I THINK THIS TRIAL IS PRETTY

| FIRMLY SET, JUDGE. IT'S AT LEAST TWO WEEKS. IT'S A FAIRLY   |
|---|
| HIGH-PROFILE CASE INVOLVING STATE COURT ALLEGATIONS OF      |
| TERRORISM IN THE NATO PROTESTS, AND THE JUDGE IS KEEPING US |
| UNDER A VERY, VERY TIGHT                                    |

2.0

THE COURT: MR. DURKIN, WHAT TIME DOES THAT START?

WHAT DATE IN SEPTEMBER DOES THAT START?

MR. DURKIN: IT STARTS ON THE 17TH.

THE COURT: WELL, I'M NOT WEDDED TO HAVING ALL FOUR
DEFENDANTS GO FORWARD ON THE SAME DATE. THAT WOULD BE OPTIMAL,
OBVIOUSLY, BUT IF CIRCUMSTANCES DON'T ALLOW FOR THAT THEN THEY
DON'T ALLOW FOR IT.

IT SOUNDS TO ME AS THOUGH THE OTHER THREE DEFENDANTS, HOWEVER, COULD GO FORWARD ON THE 16TH OR THE 17TH. IS THAT TRUE?

MR. DRATEL: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS
MR. DRATEL FOR MR. MOALIN. CERTAINLY THAT IS THE CASE.

MR. GHAPPOUR: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MR. GHAPPOUR FOR MR. DOREH. I ALSO HAVE AN INCREDIBLY HIGH-PROFILE TRIAL STARTING ON THE 3RD OF SEPTEMBER -- OR IT'S SLATED TO START ON THE 3RD OF SEPTEMBER. MY CLIENT IS THE ALLEGED UNOFFICIAL SPOKESPERSON FOR THE HACKING COLLECTIVE ANONYMOUS. IT'S BASICALLY WORLD NEWS.

BECAUSE I JUST MADE AN APPEARANCE ON THE CASE ABOUT A MONTH AGO, IT'S LOOKING LIKE IT WILL BE A MONTH TRIAL. SO WHILE I WILL ACCOMMODATE THE COURT IN ANY WAY I CAN, TO THE

EXTENT THAT MR. DURKIN HAS A LATER DATE, IF IT GOES INTO OCTOBER, I WOULD VERY MUCH APPRECIATE ALSO BEING BUMPED, IF THAT'S POSSIBLE. IF NOT, OF COURSE, I CAN ACCOMMODATE THE COURT.

THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT AUGUST? WHAT ABOUT LATE AUGUST?

MR. GHAPPOUR: YOUR HONOR, ARE YOU TALKING DIRECTLY
TO ME, MR. GHAPPOUR?

THE COURT: I'M TALKING TO EVERYONE.

MR. DRATEL: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MR. DRATEL. LATE
AUGUST MAY BE A PROBLEM BECAUSE THE TRIAL THAT BEGINS JULY 15,
WE JUST LEARNED FRIDAY AT A PRETRIAL CONFERENCE THAT THE
GOVERNMENT ANTICIPATES THAT ITS CASE WILL BE FOUR WEEKS OF
PRESENTATION. WE ANTICIPATE NOT ONLY JURY SELECTION LASTING A
FEW DAYS -- BECAUSE THE CASE WAS SUBJECT TO SOME RECENT ADVERSE
PUBLICITY -- BUT ALSO THAT WE HAVE A DEFENSE CASE IN WHICH THE
DEFENDANT MAY VERY WELL TESTIFY.

SO I DON'T WANT TO SCHEDULE SOMETHING IN LATE AUGUST AND THEN COME BACK TO THE COURT AND SAY WE'RE STUCK WITH A JURY OUT, OR EVEN STILL ON TRIAL THROUGH THE LAST PART OF AUGUST.

THE 16TH OF SEPTEMBER IS SUFFICIENTLY OUT THERE THAT I AM CONFIDENT THAT THERE WON'T BE A PROBLEM.

THE COURT: OKAY. YOU KNOW, PART OF THE ISSUE IS -AND I KNOW YOU ALL APPRECIATE THIS -- YOU ARE ALL VERY BUSY,
AND CERTAINLY THE COURT HAS OTHER MATTERS IT HAS TO DEAL WITH.

YOU KNOW, THIS CASE SHOULD TAKE PRIORITY, IN MY

VIEW -- A HIGH PRIORITY WITH ALL OF YOU, FOR REASONS THAT ARE

TOO OBVIOUS TO EVEN PUT ON THE RECORD. ALL OF THESE FOLKS ARE

AWAITING SOME KIND OF FINAL DISPOSITION IN THE CASE SO THAT

THEIR APPEALS CAN MOVE FORWARD AND THEY CAN LOOK FORWARD TO THE

NEXT CHAPTER OF THIS CASE.

BECAUSE YOU ARE ALL SO BUSY AND YOU HANDLE
HIGH-PROFILE CASES AND RELATIVELY LENGTHY CASES, IT SEEMS THAT
WE'RE ALWAYS GOING TO HAVE THE POTENTIAL FOR ONE OR MORE OF THE
PARTIES NOT BEING AVAILABLE AT ANY GIVEN POINT IN TIME. AND
WHAT I SEEM TO BE HEARING IS THAT AUGUST IS OUT. AND I CAN
APPRECIATE THAT. SEPTEMBER BECOMES DICEY FOR MR. GHAPPOUR, AND
THEN FOR MR. DURKIN FOR OTHER REASONS. OCTOBER, A GOOD PART OF
OCTOBER I'M GOING TO BE OUT. AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN WE ARE
IN THE HOLIDAY SEASON, NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER.

THAT IS, WE BEGAN TRIAL AT THE END OF JANUARY OF THIS YEAR.

AND I CERTAINLY DON'T WANT THE SENTENCING MATTERS TO GO OVER

FOR A PERIOD OF TIME APPROACHING A YEAR. SO I WANT TO WORK

WITH ALL COUNSEL, AND I WANT TO GET THIS CASE COMPLETED AT THE

EARLIEST POSSIBLE TIME, WHILE ACCOMMODATING YOUR SCHEDULE AND

NEED TO BE IN OTHER PLACES IN OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS.

MR. GHAPPOUR, IT MAY COME DOWN TO YOUR CASE GETTING
PUT OVER FOR A PERIOD OF TIME, WHICH IS ALWAYS POSSIBLE. I WAS
LOOKING AT THE COURT NUMBERS FOR THESE CASES, AND THEY SEEM TO

BE 2013 -- CASES FILED IN 2012 AND 2013. SO THEY ARE NOT TOO
OLD AT THIS POINT, I'M ASSUMING.

BUT IN ANY EVENT, I'M LOOKING, THEN, AT THE DATE OF EITHER SEPTEMBER 16 OR 17, IF THAT IS EASIER.

MR. GHAPPOUR: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MR. GHAPPOUR. I
CAN ACCOMMODATE THE 16TH, IF THAT WOULD BE EFFICIENT.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MS. MORENO: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS LINDA MORENO ON BEHALF OF MR. MOHAMED. I CAN ALSO BE PRESENT ON SEPTEMBER 16TH. THAT WORKS FOR ME.

THE COURT: OKAY. THEN WE'LL WORK WITH THAT DATE OF SEPTEMBER 16 FOR ALL OF THE DEFENDANTS, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF MR. DURKIN'S CLIENT.

AND, MR. DURKIN, I'M GOING TO HAVE TO SET A DATE FOR HIM.

MR. DURKIN: I WAS GOING TO SUGGEST IF YOU COULD GIVE ME SEPTEMBER 30TH. I THINK EVEN IF I'M NOT DONE, IF I GIVE THE JUDGE ENOUGH ADVANCE NOTICE, THAT I COULD COME ON THAT SUNDAY AND COME BACK THAT NIGHT. SO THE 30TH WOULD WORK FOR US.

THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD ENOUGH. THEN LET'S GO WITH
THE 16TH FOR THE FIRST THREE DEFENDANTS, AND THEN THE 30TH OF
SEPTEMBER FOR MR. DURKIN'S CLIENT.

AND, MR. COLE, I ASSUME THAT YOU WILL BE AVAILABLE -YOU AND YOUR COLLEAGUES WILL BE AVAILABLE ON THOSE TWO DATES?

MR. COLE: YES, YOUR HONOR.

| THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT I'M GOING TO DO, THEN, IS TO             |
|--|
| GET OUT A NEW SCHEDULING ORDER. AND THEN I'LL WORK WITH THOSE  |
| TWO DATES AND I'LL BACK UP THE OTHER DATES. LET ME SEE WHAT    |
| OTHER DATES YOU SUGGESTED HERE, MR. DRATEL. WELL, I TELL YOU   |
| WHAT. I WILL JUST GET OUT A SCHEDULING ORDER WITH ALL OF THE   |
| DATES SET FORTH. THE PSR HAS ALREADY BEEN PROVIDED FOR EACH    |
| DEFENDANT FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE PSR, CORRECTIONS, ADDITIONS,   |
| AND THEN FOR THE SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND OTHER DOCUMENTATION |
| COMING IN. OKAY.   |
| MR. DURKIN: THANK YOU, JUDGE.                                  |
| THE COURT: I THINK WE'RE SQUARED WITH EVERYONE.                |
| DOES ANYONE ELSE NEED TO MAKE ANY COMMENT OR                   |
| SUGGESTIONS AT THIS POINT? IF SO, GO AHEAD.                    |
| MR. DRATEL: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MR. DRATEL. DID YOU            |
| SET A TIME FOR THE 16TH?                                       |
| THE COURT: YES. ALL TIMES WILL BE 9:00 A.M. SO IT              |

WILL BE SEPTEMBER 16. THAT'S A MONDAY, AT 9:00 A.M.

SAME FOR MR. DURKIN. SEPTEMBER 30TH AT 9:00 A.M.

MR. DRATEL: OKAY. VERY GOOD. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LOOK FOR THE ORDER AND THEN I THINK THAT'S ABOUT IT.

THANKS, COUNSEL, TO ALL OF YOU, FOR BEING AVAILABLE FOR THIS CONFERENCE.

MR. DRATEL: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. FEEL BETTER.

1 THE COURT: ENJOY THE SUMMER. 2 MS. FONTIER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 3 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 2:57 P.M.) 4 CERTIFICATION 5 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM A DULY APPOINTED, QUALIFIED AND ACTING OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER FOR THE UNITED 6 STATES DISTRICT COURT; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE AFOREMENTIONED CAUSE 7 ON JUNE 10, 2013; THAT SAID TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOGRAPHIC NOTES; AND THAT THE FORMAT 8 USED HEREIN COMPLIES WITH THE RULES AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL CONFERENCE. 9 DATED: 3/17/14, AT SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA. 10 11 S/NJEANNETTE N. HILL, OFFICIAL REPORTER, CSR NO. 11148 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24

25